Research Section (RS)

excomm@research.mlanet.org

2013

January to December (Subject to List Traffic)

January 2013

Research Section Executive Board,

I am in dire need of a person who can help me with the Hypothesis mechanics.
My co-editor has been unresponsive to my emails and phone calls.   I hope she
is okay. Meanwhile we are behind on the Hypothesis issues and I would like to
get an issue out now for Winter and another one in the Spring before MLA
2013.

I have the content to go into the Hypothesis, but need someone who knows how
to format it with Publisher or another program to produce the actual issue.
I would like to ask the Executive Committee to please help me find someone
with skills to produce the actual publication.

I can write, edit, proof, and provide content....I only need someone to take
that content and make it into our journal publication.

Thank you in advance for any help/advice,
Diane

_____
Diane Cooper, MSLS, AHIP
Informationist | Biomedical Librarian
National Institutes of Health
Office of Research Services
NIH Library
Bldg 10, Rm 1L09H, MSC 1150
Bethesda, MD 20892-1150
301.594.2449
diane.cooper at nih.gov<mailto:diane.cooper at nih.gov>
_____
Stay connected with the NIH Library
NIH Library: http://nihlibrary.nih.gov<http://nihlibrary.nih.gov/>
IHS access: http://nihlibrary.nih.gov/IHS
Facebook: http://www.facebook.com/nihlibrary
Twitter: http://www.twitter.com/nihlibrary
_____

-------------- next part --------------
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/
20130118/501f5838/attachment.html>

From kris at jeffalpi.net Sun Jan 20 11:01:57 2013
From: kris at jeffalpi.net (Kristine Alpi)
Date: Sun, 20 Jan 2013 09:01:57 -0800 (PST)
Subject: [Excomm] Hypothesis Help Needed
In-Reply-To: <EBE937419CBB7F4FB06BCC2638BB76DD05DF20@MLBXV09.nih.gov>
References: <EBE937419CBB7F4FB06BCC2638BB76DD05DF20@MLBXV09.nih.gov>
Message-ID: <1358701317.49951.YahooMailNeo@web1213.biz.mail.gq1.yahoo.com>

Dear Diane,

I do not know which RS members have Publisher skills.? If you don't hear from
the Executive Board by COB Tuesday with someone, I would suggest sending the
call for a volunteer helper to the main RS member list as an opportunity for
involvement.
If that doesn't work, I have a non-librarian colleague at work who could
probably do it for us this one time.

Kris

_____
 From: "Cooper, Diane (NIH/OD/ORS) [E]" <cooperd at ors.od.nih.gov>
To: "'excomm at research.mlanet.org'" <excomm at research.mlanet.org>
Sent: Friday, January 18, 2013 5:44 PM
Subject: [Excomm] Hypothesis Help Needed

Research Section Executive Board,
?
I am in dire need of a person who can help me with the Hypothesis mechanics.?
My co-editor has been unresponsive to my emails and phone calls.? ?I hope she
is okay. Meanwhile we are behind on the Hypothesis issues and I would like to
get an issue out now for Winter and another one in the Spring before MLA
2013.

I have the content to go into the Hypothesis, but need someone who knows how
to format it with Publisher or another program to produce the actual issue.?
I would like to ask the Executive Committee to please help me find someone
with skills to produce the actual publication.
?
I can write, edit, proof, and provide content?.I only need someone to take
that content and make it into our journal publication.
?
Thank you in advance for any help/advice,

Diane
?

_____
Diane Cooper, MSLS, AHIP
Informationist | Biomedical Librarian
National Institutes of Health
Office of Research Services
NIH Library
Bldg 10, Rm 1L09H, MSC 1150
Bethesda, MD 20892-1150
301.594.2449
diane.cooper at nih.gov

_____
Stay connected with the NIH Library
NIH Library: http://nihlibrary.nih.gov
IHS access:? http://nihlibrary.nih.gov/IHS
Facebook:?? http://www.facebook.com/nihlibrary
Twitter:??????? http://www.twitter.com/nihlibrary

_____
?
?

_____
Excomm mailing list
Excomm at research.mlanet.org
http://research.mlanet.org/mailman/listinfo/excomm_research.mlanet.org
-------------- next part --------------
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/
20130120/bd9df909/attachment.html>

February 2013

March 203

From kris at jeffalpi.net Mon Mar 11 05:54:59 2013
From: kris at jeffalpi.net (Kristine Alpi)
Date: Mon, 11 Mar 2013 03:54:59 -0700 (PDT)
Subject: [Excomm] RS Bylaws Changes and Vote on Standing Committees - reply
        by March 18
Message-ID: <1362999299.42324.YahooMailNeo@web1216.biz.mail.gq1.yahoo.com>

Dear Research Section Executive Committee,

Please review the below and reply to me by Monday, March 18

I am submitting draft bylaw revisions to MLA Bylaws committee today to try to
get our bylaws closer to the model bylaws. ?While they are considering the
specifics, I thought I should check in with you about some of the changes. ?

The model bylaws use executive board rather than executive committee. ??Do
you have any objection to being called the Executive Board rather than
Executive Committee?

The other issue is Standing Committees. ?Currently the bylaws state:

Standing committees of the Section shall be the Executive Committee, the Nominating Committee, the Membership Committee, and the Research Resources Committee.

We can establish new standing committees, no problem, but if we want to eliminate any of these, the bylaws revision is a good way to do this.

Since we currently don't have a chair for the Research Resources Committee and its role in this area of ubiquitous web info has diminished, I suggest that we eliminate this committee from the list of standing committees in the bylaws. ?

I'd like to propose that the Awards Committee be made a standing committee and included in the bylaws.

Thanks for considering these changes. ?I look forward to your feedback.

Kris
-------------- next part --------------
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/20130311/f2c4382b/attachment.html>

From kris at jeffalpi.net Mon Mar 11 12:29:38 2013
From: kris at jeffalpi.net (Kristine Alpi)
Date: Mon, 11 Mar 2013 10:29:38 -0700 (PDT)
Subject: [Excomm] Fw: ACTION REQUIRED - MLA Annual Reports - April 5 to Kris?
In-Reply-To: <513E0E55.5020301@mlahq.org>
References: <513E0E55.5020301@mlahq.org>
Message-ID: <1363022978.48455.YahooMailNeo@web1215.biz.mail.gq1.yahoo.com>

Dear Research Section Officers and Committee Chairs,

We have received the call for annual reports from MLA (forwarded).? I would love to have your reports by Friday, April 5 so that I have a week to get the report ready and share it back with our members.

Please let me know if you have any questions and I look forward to your reports!

Thanks!
Kris

----- Forwarded Message -----
From: Maria Lopez <mlapd2 at mlahq.org>
To: Jodi Philbrick <Jodi.Philbrick at unt.edu>; jcrum at coh.org; jtapper at uws.edu; cecbote at ufl.edu; ilyse_slim at yahoo.com; mdobbi at lsuhsc.edu; jschia at lsuhsc.edu; jimb at northwestern.edu; mabel.trafford at us.army.mil; giniblodgett at apta.org; joan.stoddart at utah.edu; livinska at mail.nih.gov; tlknott at vcu.edu; dlv2 at georgetown.edu; jkkwan at

Dear Chairs, Allied Representatives, and Appointed Officials-

Your MLA 2012/13 ANNUAL REPORTS are due!? All MLA committee,
        task force,
        chapter, and section chairs as well as allied organization
        representatives and appointed
        officials should submit annual reports to me at mlapd2 at mlahq.org
by MONDAY, APRIL 15, 2013 for presentation to the Board at the May MLA Board
meeting.? Please contact me with any questions.

Attached are guidelines for format, content, and subject matter
        of the reports.

-? Please put the name of your committee, task force, chapter,
        section or
        allied group in the SUBJECT LINE of your email.

-? Please follow the attached guidelines. Relate your reports to
        MLA's
        strategic plan (http://www.mlanet.org/about/strategic.html) and MLA
President Jane Blumenthal 's priorities
(http://www.mlanet.org/about/leaders/president_12-13/jb_priorities.html)

For examples of previous reports, please see the 2011/2012
        annual reports at
http://www.mlanet.org/members/organization/annual_reports/11_12/index.html
?
Please send additional copies of your report to the individuals
        listed below:

If you are:????????????????????????????????????? ??? ??? ??? Send additional
copies to:

Committee, Task Force Chairs???? ???
        ??? Committee, Task Force, Board Liaison

Section
        Chairs????????????????????????????????
        ?? ??? ? ??? Section Council Chair

Chapter
        Chairs????????????????????????????????
        ?? ??? ???? Chapter Council Chair

Allied
        Representatives?????????????????
        ?? ??? Committee or Section Chairs (if you report to
        one)

Thank you.

Maria Lopez
--
Maria Lopez
Medical Library Association
Quality Information For Improved Health
65 East Wacker Place, Suite 1900, Chicago, IL 60601
312-419-9094 x 15 mlapd2 at mlahq.org www.mlanet.org Attend MLA '13 | MLA's
Annual Meeting & Exhibition
"One Health: Information in an Interdependent World"
in Boston, MA, May 3-8, 2013
-------------- next part --------------
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/
20130311/fa9f3cb9/attachment-0001.html>
-------------- next part --------------
A non-text attachment was scrubbed...
Name: guidelines_annual.doc
Type: application/msword
Size: 27648 bytes
Desc: not available
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/
20130311/fa9f3cb9/attachment-0001.doc>

From beatriz.varman at exch.library.tmc.edu Wed Mar 13 15:32:58 2013
From: beatriz.varman at exch.library.tmc.edu (Beatriz Varman)
Date: Wed, 13 Mar 2013 15:32:58 -0500
Subject: [Excomm] MLa Focus short article
Message-ID: <5637D09D61DECB41940C180CA96CFA8204641C32@mail.hamtmcl.local>

Good afternoon,

Just to let you know.

The next issue of MLA FOCUS (March 21), will publish a short article
encouraging MLA members to join the Research Section and the benefits of
becoming a member.

Thanks Kris for your feedback.

Beatriz Vaman

Beatriz Varman, MLIS

Public Relations Librarian

Reference & Outreach

HOUSTON ACADEMY OF MEDICINE

TEXAS MEDICAL CENTER LIBRARY

1133 John Freeman Blvd.

Houston, TX 77030

P:713.799.7169 f:713.799.7869 (fax)

beatriz.varman at exch.library.tmc.edu

www.library.tmc.edu

Visit the Publications blog

http://thetmclibrary.blogspot.com/

-------------- next part --------------
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/
20130313/a95ac50c/attachment.html>

From terrie.wheeler at nih.gov Fri Mar 15 21:44:54 2013
From: terrie.wheeler at nih.gov (Wheeler, Terrie (NIH/OD/ORS) [E])
Date: Sat, 16 Mar 2013 02:44:54 +0000
Subject: [Excomm] Research Section Business Meeting Breakfast Options
Message-ID: <88ADB35AB1AB3A4590A9948A267573360DC9F3FD@MLBXV08.nih.gov>

Greetings RS Executive Committee,

In preparation for the RS Business meeting at the OneHealth annual meeting
(Tuesday morning from 7:00 - 9:00), we have several choices of continental
breakfast.   For a section of this size I would estimate attendance to be
30-35. Other sections are ordering less, as with tightened budgets they
expect smaller attendance. I don't know if that would be true for this
section or not.

Please take a look at the following choices at costs for 30 and 30 attendees,
and let me know what makes sense based on the RS budget and past experience.

Traditional Continental Breakfast includes ($18 per person):
Orange and cranberry juices * Sweet butter and fresh fruit preserves * A
selection of seasonal fruits and berries * Coffee and an assortment of hot
teas * Breakfast breads and Danish

30 = $540   35 = $630

An addition of various fruit flavored low fat yogurts to the above would be an additional:
15 yogurts = $56.25   20 yogurts = $75   30 yogurts = $112.50   35 yogurts = $131.25

The New England Continental Breakfast includes ($24 per person):
Orange and cranberry juices * Market fresh seasonal fruits and berries * Cape Bay scones - spiced apple, blueberry, cranberry-orange and ginger * Cranberry-apricot parfaits - house made granola * Colonial Roasted Apple Oatmeal Brul?e - maple-scented and topped with caramelized raw sugar Honey butter, fruit preserves and lemon curd * Coffee and an assortment of hot teas

30 = $720   35 = $840

Continental Breakfast - One includes ($22 per person):
Orange and cranberry juices * Market fresh seasonal fruits and berries * Chef's selection of bakery fresh Danish * Flaky almond, butter and chocolate croissants * Low-fat fruit yogurts * Sweet butter and fruit preserves * Coffee and an assortment of hot teas

30 = $660   35 = $770

Continental Breakfast - Two includes ($21 per person):
Orange and cranberry juices * Fruit salad with strawberry yogurt sauce * Fresh baked breakfast breads * Selection of mini bagels * Low-fat and plain cream cheese * Sweet butter and fruit preserves * Coffee and an assortment of hot teas

30 = $630   35 = $ 735

The most economical would be the Traditional Continental at $540 or $630

Adding 20 yogurts increases that cost to 30 = $615 or 35 = $705 (The Continental Breakfast One includes low fat fruit yogurts)

Other items can be added besides yogurts for an additional charge, including: selection of dry cereals - $4.50 each | Breakfast meats (either bacon, sausage, turkey bacon or ham at 4:00 each | or the ultimate oatmeal bar at $4.50 each.

I have given you a lot of information, but highlighted in yellow what I think would be best value. I welcome your input, recommendations, discussion.

If we could arrive at a decision by Thursday, March 21, 2013, I would appreciate it. Thank you!

Best,

Terrie Wheeler
Branch Chief, NIH Library
&
Research Section Program Planner 2013

-------------- next part --------------
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/
20130316/92a1cad8/attachment.html>

From terrie.wheeler at nih.gov Fri Mar 22 22:50:25 2013
From: terrie.wheeler at nih.gov (Wheeler, Terrie (NIH/OD/ORS) [E])
Date: Sat, 23 Mar 2013 03:50:25 +0000
Subject: [Excomm] Research Section Business Meeting Breakfast Options
In-Reply-To: <88ADB35AB1AB3A4590A9948A267573360DC9F3FD@MLBXV08.nih.gov>
References: <88ADB35AB1AB3A4590A9948A267573360DC9F3FD@MLBXV08.nih.gov>
Message-ID: <88ADB35AB1AB3A4590A9948A267573360DCA4048@MLBXV08.nih.gov>

Thank you for your feedback.   We will order the Traditional Continental
Breakfast for 20, which will be $360.00.

From: Wheeler, Terrie (NIH/OD/ORS) [E]
Sent: Friday, March 15, 2013 10:45 PM
To: Research ExecComm
Subject: Research Section Business Meeting Breakfast Options

Greetings RS Executive Committee,

In preparation for the RS Business meeting at the OneHealth annual meeting
(Tuesday morning from 7:00 - 9:00), we have several choices of continental
breakfast.   For a section of this size I would estimate attendance to be
30-35. Other sections are ordering less, as with tightened budgets they
expect smaller attendance. I don't know if that would be true for this
section or not.

Please take a look at the following choices at costs for 30 and 30 attendees,
and let me know what makes sense based on the RS budget and past experience.

Traditional Continental Breakfast includes ($18 per person):
Orange and cranberry juices * Sweet butter and fresh fruit preserves * A
selection of seasonal fruits and berries * Coffee and an assortment of hot
teas * Breakfast breads and Danish

30 = $540   35 = $630

An addition of various fruit flavored low fat yogurts to the above would be
an additional:
15 yogurts = $56.25   20 yogurts = $75   30 yogurts = $112.50   35 yogurts
= $131.25

The New England Continental Breakfast includes ($24 per person):
Orange and cranberry juices * Market fresh seasonal fruits and berries * Cape
Bay scones - spiced apple, blueberry, cranberry-orange and ginger *
Cranberry-apricot parfaits - house made granola * Colonial Roasted Apple
Oatmeal Brul?e - maple-scented and topped with caramelized raw sugar Honey
butter, fruit preserves and lemon curd * Coffee and an assortment of hot teas

30 = $720   35 = $840

Continental Breakfast - One includes ($22 per person):

Orange and cranberry juices * Market fresh seasonal fruits and berries *
Chef's selection of bakery fresh Danish * Flaky almond, butter and chocolate
croissants * Low-fat fruit yogurts * Sweet butter and fruit preserves *
Coffee and an assortment of hot teas

30 = $660   35 = $770

Continental Breakfast - Two includes ($21 per person):
Orange and cranberry juices * Fruit salad with strawberry yogurt sauce *
Fresh baked breakfast breads * Selection of mini bagels * Low-fat and plain
cream cheese * Sweet butter and fruit preserves * Coffee and an assortment of
hot teas

30 = $630   35 = $ 735

The most economical would be the Traditional Continental at $540 or $630

Adding 20 yogurts increases that cost to 30 = $615 or 35 = $705   (The
Continental Breakfast One includes low fat fruit yogurts)

Other items can be added besides yogurts for an additional charge, including:
selection of dry cereals - $4.50 each | Breakfast meats (either bacon,
sausage, turkey bacon or ham at 4:00 each | or the ultimate oatmeal bar at
$4.50 each.

I have given you a lot of information, but highlighted in yellow what I think
would be best value. I welcome your input, recommendations, discussion.

If we could arrive at a decision by Thursday, March 21, 2013, I would
appreciate it. Thank you!

Best,

Terrie Wheeler
Branch Chief, NIH Library
&
Research Section Program Planner 2013

-------------- next part --------------
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/
20130323/cfb271d3/attachment.html>

April 2013

From kris at jeffalpi.net Sun Apr 7 15:29:28 2013
From: kris at jeffalpi.net (Kristine Alpi)
Date: Sun, 7 Apr 2013 13:29:28 -0700 (PDT)
Subject: [Excomm] Proposed criteria for RS Best JMLA research paper award
        for your review
Message-ID: <1365366568.58482.YahooMailNeo@web1211.biz.mail.gq1.yahoo.com>

Dear Colleagues,

Attached are the proposed criteria for RS Best JMLA research paper award for your review.?? Thank you to our awards committee, Sandy DeGroote, Aileen McCrillis and Amy Donahue for preparing these for us.

Please take a look and let me and Sandy know of any needed clarifications or any concerns by Monday, April 15.?? Once we have addressed any last tweaks, we will ask Brooke to post the criteria on the Research Section website and ask the JMLA editor to link to the award info from the JMLA page on MLANET.?? We will be announcing the criteria at MLA at our business meeting and the first award will be in 2014 for papers published in 2012-2013.

Sandy and Aileen will be recruiting for 1-2 additional award committee members as Amy is finished with her one year term and Sandy is hoping to rotate off once we have a new person(s) recruited.? So if you have colleagues who would enjoy the awards committee, please let me, Sandy or Terrie Wheeler know.?

I think that's it for now.? Looking forward to getting this program started!
Kris
-------------- next part --------------
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/20130407/9fbbfb9e/attachment-0001.html>
-------------- next part --------------
A non-text attachment was scrubbed...
Name: RSawardbestJMLApaper.doc
Type: application/msword
Size: 34816 bytes
Desc: not available
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/20130407/9fbbfb9e/attachment-0001.doc>

From brooke.billman at ahsl.arizona.edu Tue Apr 9 17:12:08 2013
From: brooke.billman at ahsl.arizona.edu (Brooke Billman)
Date: Tue, 9 Apr 2013 22:12:08 +0000
Subject: [Excomm] Proposed criteria for RS Best JMLA research paper
 award for your review
In-Reply-To: <1365366568.58482.YahooMailNeo@web1211.biz.mail.gq1.yahoo.com>
References: <1365366568.58482.YahooMailNeo@web1211.biz.mail.gq1.yahoo.com>
Message-ID:
<7096778FA8E9774CA1B69163D8AFCAF56CF028CB@MAILBOX1.medadmin.arizona.edu>

Hi Kris,
The only suggestion I have is for a sentence in the last section- "The Awards Committee will have MLA check MLA membership for each first author of qualifying papers by the end of February."
Since officers are now able to submit an online request<http://www.mlanet.org/sections/list_request.html> for a membership list, perhaps it can be stated that the person in a particular position (whatever that may be) can request the list.

Thanks,
Brooke
Brooke L Billman, MA, AHIP
AZHIN Librarian
Arizona Health Sciences Library
University of Arizona
1501 N Campbell Ave, PO Box 245079
Tucson, AZ 85724
520/626-1544<tel:520%2F626-1544>
brooke.billman at ahsl.arizona.edu<mailto:%20brooke.billman at
ahsl.arizona.edu>
http://azhin.org/
http://ahsl.arizona.edu/

From: Excomm [mailto:excomm-bounces at research.mlanet.org] On Behalf Of
Kristine Alpi
Sent: Sunday, April 07, 2013 4:29 PM
To: Research ExecComm
Cc: Susan Starr; aileen.mccrillis at med.nyu.edu; adonahue at mcw.edu
Subject: [Excomm] Proposed criteria for RS Best JMLA research paper award for
your review

Dear Colleagues,

Attached are the proposed criteria for RS Best JMLA research paper award for
your review.   Thank you to our awards committee, Sandy DeGroote, Aileen
McCrillis and Amy Donahue for preparing these for us.
Please take a look and let me and Sandy know of any needed clarifications or
any concerns by Monday, April 15.   Once we have addressed any last tweaks,
we will ask Brooke to post the criteria on the Research Section website and
ask the JMLA editor to link to the award info from the JMLA page on MLANET.
We will be announcing the criteria at MLA at our business meeting and the
first award will be in 2014 for papers published in 2012-2013.

Sandy and Aileen will be recruiting for 1-2 additional award committee
members as Amy is finished with her one year term and Sandy is hoping to
rotate off once we have a new person(s) recruited. So if you have colleagues
who would enjoy the awards committee, please let me, Sandy or Terrie Wheeler
know.

I think that's it for now. Looking forward to getting this program started!
Kris
-------------- next part --------------
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/
20130409/7a6ce9d1/attachment.html>

From kris at jeffalpi.net Wed Apr 10 13:33:15 2013
From: kris at jeffalpi.net (Kristine Alpi)
Date: Wed, 10 Apr 2013 11:33:15 -0700 (PDT)
Subject: [Excomm] Proposed criteria for RS Best JMLA research paper
        award for your review
In-Reply-To: <CAFj=EX=q=AWb-
GiWtHX=81G1EkEk5r+0L2=+1_ZrDvBgcV8Obw@mail.gmail.com>
References: <1365366568.58482.YahooMailNeo@web1211.biz.mail.gq1.yahoo.com>

        <7096778FA8E9774CA1B69163D8AFCAF56CF028CB@MAILBOX1.medadmin.arizona.ed
u>
        <CAFj=EX=q=AWb-GiWtHX=81G1EkEk5r+0L2=+1_ZrDvBgcV8Obw@mail.gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1365618795.31842.YahooMailNeo@web1213.biz.mail.gq1.yahoo.com>

Hi Susan

That is a good point that we used to decide that it should be two years worth
of articles instead of annual.
It also says to me that we should reward MLA members for succeeding in
publishing a full length research paper in JMLA since few have done it.

I guess I'd just ask Sandy to consider adding in the verbiage that we use for
the meeting awards that the awards are only given if sufficient high quality
pieces are available.

Just my 2 cents.?
Kris?

_____
 From: Susan Starr <jmlaeditorbox at gmail.com>
To: Brooke Billman <brooke.billman at ahsl.arizona.edu>
Cc: Kristine Alpi <kris at jeffalpi.net>; Research ExecComm <excomm at
research.mlanet.org>; "aileen.mccrillis at med.nyu.edu" <aileen.mccrillis at
med.nyu.edu>; "adonahue at mcw.edu" <adonahue at mcw.edu>
Sent: Tuesday, April 9, 2013 6:57 PM
Subject: Re: [Excomm] Proposed criteria for RS Best JMLA research paper award
for your review

Hi Brooke, Kris and everyone

While I think the award is a great idea, I feel I should point out that we
have very few full length research papers with first authors who are MLA
members.

In fact I just checked 2012 and there were only 2 (out of 12 full length
papers that year).

So this is going to be a very limiting criteria.? Not sure how you want to
handle that.

Susan

On Tue, Apr 9, 2013 at 3:12 PM, Brooke Billman <brooke.billman at
ahsl.arizona.edu> wrote:

Hi Kris,
>The only suggestion I have is for a sentence in the last section- ?The
Awards Committee will have MLA check MLA membership for each first author of
qualifying papers by the end of February.?
>Since officers are now able to submit an online request for a membership
list, perhaps it can be stated that the person in a particular position
(whatever that may be) can request the list.

>From:Excomm [mailto:excomm-bounces at research.mlanet.org] On Behalf Of
Kristine Alpi
>Sent: Sunday, April 07, 2013 4:29 PM
>To: Research ExecComm
>Cc: Susan Starr; aileen.mccrillis at med.nyu.edu; adonahue at mcw.edu
>Subject: [Excomm] Proposed criteria for RS Best JMLA research paper award
for your review
>?
>Dear Colleagues,
>?
>Attached are the proposed criteria for RS Best JMLA research paper award for
your review.?? Thank you to our awards committee, Sandy DeGroote, Aileen
McCrillis and Amy Donahue for preparing these for us.
>Please take a look and let me and Sandy know of any needed clarifications or
any concerns by Monday, April 15.?? Once we have addressed any last tweaks,
we will ask Brooke to post the criteria on the Research Section website and
ask the JMLA editor to link to the award info from the JMLA page on MLANET.??
We will be announcing the criteria at MLA at our business meeting and the
first award will be in 2014 for papers published in 2012-2013.
>?
>Sandy and Aileen will be recruiting for 1-2 additional award committee
members as Amy is finished with her one year term and Sandy is hoping to
rotate off once we have a new person(s) recruited.? So if you have colleagues
who would enjoy the awards committee, please let me, Sandy or Terrie Wheeler
know.?
>?
>I think that's it for now.? Looking forward to getting this program started!
>Kris
-------------- next part --------------
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/
20130410/de812a3d/attachment.html>

From sgroote at uic.edu Thu Apr 11 22:46:48 2013
From: sgroote at uic.edu (Sandy De Groote)
Date: Thu, 11 Apr 2013 22:46:48 -0500
Subject: [Excomm] Proposed criteria for RS Best JMLA research paper
 award for your review
In-Reply-To: <1365366568.58482.YahooMailNeo@web1211.biz.mail.gq1.yahoo.com>
References: <1365366568.58482.YahooMailNeo@web1211.biz.mail.gq1.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <516783A8.8080708@uic.edu>

I think one of the things we can do is remove the criteria that the

first author be an MLA member to be that at least one author needs to an
MLA member. We can add verbiage that it will only be given if there is
a high quality piece but I can't image that's wouldn't happen.   There
might not be articles that qualify because they don't meet the research
or the MLA membership criteria, but I would be dubious that if there was
a research article that it would not be found to be of high quality.

I will make some edits and resend.
Sandy

--
Sandy De Groote, MLIS, AHIP   sgroote at uic.edu
Scholarly Communications Librarian and Associate Professor
312-413-9494
University Library 801 S Morgan St. M/C 234
Chicago, Il 60607

On 4/7/2013 3:29 PM, Kristine Alpi wrote:
> Dear Colleagues,
>
> Attached are the proposed criteria for RS Best JMLA research paper
> award for your review.   Thank you to our awards committee, Sandy
> DeGroote, Aileen McCrillis and Amy Donahue for preparing these for us.
> Please take a look and let me and Sandy know of any needed
> clarifications or any concerns by Monday, April 15.   Once we have
> addressed any last tweaks, we will ask Brooke to post the criteria on
> the Research Section website and ask the JMLA editor to link to the
> award info from the JMLA page on MLANET.   We will be announcing the
> criteria at MLA at our business meeting and the first award will be in
> 2014 for papers published in 2012-2013.
>
> Sandy and Aileen will be recruiting for 1-2 additional award committee
> members as Amy is finished with her one year term and Sandy is hoping
> to rotate off once we have a new person(s) recruited. So if you have
> colleagues who would enjoy the awards committee, please let me, Sandy
> or Terrie Wheeler know.
>
> I think that's it for now. Looking forward to getting this program
> started!
> Kris
>
>
> _____
> Excomm mailing list
> Excomm at research.mlanet.org
> http://research.mlanet.org/mailman/listinfo/excomm_research.mlanet.org

-------------- next part --------------
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/
20130411/f570e81b/attachment.html>

From kris at jeffalpi.net Thu Apr 11 22:12:01 2013
From: kris at jeffalpi.net (Kristine Alpi)
Date: Thu, 11 Apr 2013 20:12:01 -0700 (PDT)
Subject: [Excomm] Proposed criteria for RS Best JMLA research paper

award for your review
In-Reply-To: <516783A8.8080708@uic.edu>
References: <1365366568.58482.YahooMailNeo@web1211.biz.mail.gq1.yahoo.com>
        <516783A8.8080708@uic.edu>
Message-ID: <1365736321.54598.YahooMailNeo@web1204.biz.mail.gq1.yahoo.com>

That sounds okay to me to broaden it to allow other positions, but I think
priority or extra points should be given to first author MLA member papers. ?
It does raise confusion as to who would get the award if there are multiple
MLA member authors on the same paper, none of whom are first authors. ?Would
we just give it the first MLA member author in the order of authors??

Kris ??

_____
 From: Sandy De Groote <sgroote at uic.edu>
To: Kristine Alpi <kris at jeffalpi.net>
Cc: Research ExecComm <excomm at research.mlanet.org>; Susan Starr
<jmlaeditorbox at gmail.com>; "aileen.mccrillis at med.nyu.edu"
<aileen.mccrillis at med.nyu.edu>; "adonahue at mcw.edu" <adonahue at
mcw.edu>
Sent: Thursday, April 11, 2013 11:46 PM
Subject: Re: [Excomm] Proposed criteria for RS Best JMLA research paper award
for your review

I think one of the things we can do is remove the criteria that the first
author be an MLA member to be that at least one author needs to an MLA
member.? We can add verbiage that it will only be given if there is a high
quality piece but I can't image that's wouldn't happen.?? There might not be
articles that qualify because they don't meet the research or the MLA
membership criteria, but I would be dubious that if there was a research
article that it would not be found to be of high quality.

I will make some edits and resend.
Sandy
--
Sandy De Groote, MLIS, AHIP sgroote at uic.edu Scholarly Communications
Librarian and Associate Professor
312-413-9494
University Library 801 S Morgan St. M/C 234
Chicago, Il 60607
On 4/7/2013 3:29 PM, Kristine Alpi wrote:

Dear Colleagues,
>
>
>
>Attached are the proposed criteria for RS Best JMLA research paper award for
your review.?? Thank you to our awards committee, Sandy DeGroote, Aileen
McCrillis and Amy Donahue for preparing these for us.
>
>Please take a look and let me and Sandy know of any needed clarifications or
any concerns by Monday, April 15.?? Once we have addressed any last tweaks,

we will ask Brooke to post the criteria on the Research Section website and
ask the JMLA editor to link to the award info from the JMLA page on MLANET.??
We will be announcing the criteria at MLA at our business meeting and the
first award will be in 2014 for papers published in 2012-2013.
>
>
>
>Sandy and Aileen will be recruiting for 1-2 additional award committee
members as Amy is finished with her one year term and Sandy is hoping to
rotate off once we have a new person(s) recruited.? So if you have colleagues
who would enjoy the awards committee, please let me, Sandy or Terrie Wheeler
know.?
>
>
>I think that's it for now.? Looking forward to getting this program started!
>Kris
>
>
>
>_____

From carolemg at wowway.com Fri Apr 12 11:02:14 2013
From: carolemg at wowway.com (Carole Gilbert)
Date: Fri, 12 Apr 2013 12:02:14 -0400
Subject: [Excomm] Proposed criteria for RS Best JMLA research paper
 award for your review
In-Reply-To: <1365736321.54598.YahooMailNeo@web1204.biz.mail.gq1.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <CD8DA846.10D0D%carolemg@wowway.com>

I meant to send to all, so here ?tis...

Well... I still think that the award should go to an MLA member since we are
trying to encourage MLA members to publish-- even though Susan states that
there are very few full research articles sent to JMLA. It might take
awhile to build up this award but we should try it and see what happens.
After a trial period, we can make changes, eliminate in favor of something
else, or...
Just FYI, the policy states that the committee should be composed of the
Research Award co-chairs. This statement needs to be changed since there
might not always be co-chairs. I did the job for many years as the chair.
It is possible that there would only be a chair rather than co-chairs.

Just my 2 cents.

Carole

> That sounds okay to me to broaden it to allow other positions, but I think
> priority or extra points should be given to first author MLA member papers.

> It does raise confusion as to who would get the award if there are multiple
> MLA member authors on the same paper, none of whom are first authors.
Would
> we just give it the first MLA member author in the order of authors?
>
> Kris
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>   From: Sandy De Groote <sgroote at uic.edu>
> To: Kristine Alpi <kris at jeffalpi.net>
> Cc: Research ExecComm <excomm at research.mlanet.org>; Susan Starr
> <jmlaeditorbox at gmail.com>; "aileen.mccrillis at med.nyu.edu"
> <aileen.mccrillis at med.nyu.edu>; "adonahue at mcw.edu" <adonahue at
mcw.edu>
> Sent: Thursday, April 11, 2013 11:46 PM
> Subject: Re: [Excomm] Proposed criteria for RS Best JMLA research paper
award
> for your review
>
>
>
>
>
> I think one of the things we can do is remove the criteria that the first
> author be an MLA member to be that at least one author needs to an MLA
member.
> We can add verbiage that it will only be given if there is a high quality
> piece but I can't image that's wouldn't happen.   There might not be
articles
> that qualify because they don't meet the research or the MLA membership
> criteria, but I would be dubious that if there was a research article that
it
> would not be found to be of high quality.
>
> I will make some edits and resend.
> Sandy

Carole M. Gilbert, MSLS, AHIP, FMLA
4020 Yorba Linda Blvd
Royal Oak, Mi 48073
carolemg at wowway.com

-------------- next part --------------
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/
20130412/e2c73f5e/attachment-0001.html>

From sgroote at uic.edu Fri Apr 12 14:57:44 2013
From: sgroote at uic.edu (Sandy De Groote)
Date: Fri, 12 Apr 2013 14:57:44 -0500

I thought the research paper was getting the award.   We can give it to
the first listed author that is also an MLA member.   They can work out
how to split the $.
Sandy

On 4/11/2013 10:12 PM, Kristine Alpi wrote:
> That sounds okay to me to broaden it to allow other positions, but I
> think priority or extra points should be given to first author MLA
> member papers.   It does raise confusion as to who would get the award
> if there are multiple MLA member authors on the same paper, none of
> whom are first authors. Would we just give it the first MLA member
> author in the order of authors?
>
> Kris
>
>
> ---
> *From:* Sandy De Groote <sgroote at uic.edu>
> *To:* Kristine Alpi <kris at jeffalpi.net>
> *Cc:* Research ExecComm <excomm at research.mlanet.org>; Susan Starr
> <jmlaeditorbox at gmail.com>; "aileen.mccrillis at med.nyu.edu"
> <aileen.mccrillis at med.nyu.edu>; "adonahue at mcw.edu" <adonahue at
mcw.edu>
> *Sent:* Thursday, April 11, 2013 11:46 PM
> *Subject:* Re: [Excomm] Proposed criteria for RS Best JMLA research
> paper award for your review
>
> I think one of the things we can do is remove the criteria that the
> first author be an MLA member to be that at least one author needs to
> an MLA member. We can add verbiage that it will only be given if
> there is a high quality piece but I can't image that's wouldn't
> happen.   There might not be articles that qualify because they don't
> meet the research or the MLA membership criteria, but I would be
> dubious that if there was a research article that it would not be
> found to be of high quality.
>
> I will make some edits and resend.
> Sandy
> --
> Sandy De Groote, MLIS, AHIPsgroote at uic.edu <mailto:sgroote at uic.edu>
> Scholarly Communications Librarian and Associate Professor
> 312-413-9494
> University Library 801 S Morgan St. M/C 234
> Chicago, Il 60607
> On 4/7/2013 3:29 PM, Kristine Alpi wrote:
>> Dear Colleagues,
>>
>> Attached are the proposed criteria for RS Best JMLA research paper

>> award for your review.   Thank you to our awards committee, Sandy
>> DeGroote, Aileen McCrillis and Amy Donahue for preparing these for us.
>> Please take a look and let me and Sandy know of any needed
>> clarifications or any concerns by Monday, April 15.   Once we have
>> addressed any last tweaks, we will ask Brooke to post the criteria on
>> the Research Section website and ask the JMLA editor to link to the
>> award info from the JMLA page on MLANET.   We will be announcing the
>> criteria at MLA at our business meeting and the first award will be
>> in 2014 for papers published in 2012-2013.
>>
>> Sandy and Aileen will be recruiting for 1-2 additional award
>> committee members as Amy is finished with her one year term and Sandy
>> is hoping to rotate off once we have a new person(s) recruited. So
>> if you have colleagues who would enjoy the awards committee, please
>> let me, Sandy or Terrie Wheeler know.
>>
>> I think that's it for now. Looking forward to getting this program
>> started!
>> Kris
>>
>>
>> _____
>> Excomm mailing list
>> Excomm at research.mlanet.org <mailto:Excomm at research.mlanet.org>
>> http://research.mlanet.org/mailman/listinfo/excomm_research.mlanet.org
>
>
>

--
Sandy De Groote, MLIS, AHIP
Scholarly Communications Librarian and Associate Professor
University Library
University of Illinois at Chicago
801 S Morgan St. M/C 234
Chicago, Il 60607 312-413-9494;   sgroote at uic.edu

-------------- next part --------------
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/
20130412/c030998a/attachment.html>

From kris at jeffalpi.net Sat Apr 13 06:32:27 2013
From: kris at jeffalpi.net (Kristine Alpi)
Date: Sat, 13 Apr 2013 04:32:27 -0700 (PDT)
Subject: [Excomm] Fw: [mla-section] INFORMATION: Announcement for MLA
        Sections: New Service for Section Officers
In-Reply-To: <5089F3961AD76E4B8120A2F8A3B24AFE1332F069@GABMBx02.ad.unt.edu>
References: <5089F3961AD76E4B8120A2F8A3B24AFE1332F069@GABMBx02.ad.unt.edu>
Message-ID: <1365852747.73326.YahooMailNeo@web1216.biz.mail.gq1.yahoo.com>

Hi all

Good news!

Kris?

----- Forwarded Message -----
From: "Philbrick, Jodi" <Jodi.Philbrick at unt.edu>
To: "mla-section at mlahq.org" <mla-section at mlahq.org>
Sent: Friday, April 12, 2013 6:03 PM
Subject: [mla-section] INFORMATION: Announcement for MLA Sections: New
Service for Section Officers

Please forward the message below to your Section Officers.

MLA Headquarters is pleased to announce a new service for section officers.
If you are a section leader listed in the MLA directory, your section's up-
to-date/live roster is viewable on-demand through your MLA membership menu.
You will not longer need to request lists through MLA headquarters.

You may also download the roster to Excel and sort according to your needs.

To access:

1) Visit <http://www.mlanet.org/> and select the member login button to the
far left under the MLA logo.
2) Log in using your MLANET user name and password.
3) Select "Go to My Member Area" from the main member's area menu.
4) Once in your account menu, you should now see a menu item on the bottom
right that reads "Section On-Demand Rosters" (only section leaders in the MLA
directory see this menu item).
5) Click on the link to see the instructions for getting your section
roster(s).

If you want to look at lapsed members, pay attention to the "paidto" date. If
members did not renew their section membership for 2012, their paidto date
will reflect 12/31/2011; If members did not renew their section membership
for 2013, their paidto date will reflect 12/31/2012.

Please let Kate Corcoran, corcoran at mlahq.org, 312.419.9094 x12 know if you
have questions or need further information.

_____
mla-section mailing list
mla-section at mlahq.org
http://ns1.mlahq.org/mailman/listinfo/mla-section
-------------- next part --------------
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/
20130413/2bf78425/attachment.html>

From kris at jeffalpi.net Sat Apr 13 06:35:34 2013
From: kris at jeffalpi.net (Kristine Alpi)
Date: Sat, 13 Apr 2013 04:35:34 -0700 (PDT)
Subject: [Excomm] Proposed criteria for RS Best JMLA research paper
        award for your review
In-Reply-To: <51686738.1020102@uic.edu>
References: <1365366568.58482.YahooMailNeo@web1211.biz.mail.gq1.yahoo.com>
        <516783A8.8080708@uic.edu>

        <1365736321.54598.YahooMailNeo@web1204.biz.mail.gq1.yahoo.com>
        <51686738.1020102@uic.edu>
Message-ID: <1365852934.95559.YahooMailNeo@web1204.biz.mail.gq1.yahoo.com>

Hi Sandy

You are right that the paper gets the award, but the check or gift card would
have to be given to an individual. ?Thus we have to pick out the person who
would get that.
It may also be that there are two MLA member librarians from different
institutions on the same paper. ?You are right that the person we send it to
should share it.?

Kris

_____
 From: Sandy De Groote <sgroote at uic.edu>
To: Kristine Alpi <kris at jeffalpi.net>
Cc: Research ExecComm <excomm at research.mlanet.org>; Susan Starr
<jmlaeditorbox at gmail.com>; "aileen.mccrillis at med.nyu.edu"
<aileen.mccrillis at med.nyu.edu>; "adonahue at mcw.edu" <adonahue at
mcw.edu>
Sent: Friday, April 12, 2013 3:57 PM
Subject: Re: [Excomm] Proposed criteria for RS Best JMLA research paper award
for your review

I thought the research paper was getting the award.?? We can give it to the
first listed author that is also an MLA member.?? They can work out how to
split the $.
Sandy

On 4/11/2013 10:12 PM, Kristine Alpi wrote:

That sounds okay to me to broaden it to allow other positions, but I think
priority or extra points should be given to first author MLA member papers. ?
It does raise confusion as to who would get the award if there are multiple
MLA member authors on the same paper, none of whom are first authors. ?Would
we just give it the first MLA member author in the order of authors??
>
>
>Kris ??
>
>
>
>
>
>_____
> From: Sandy De Groote <sgroote at uic.edu>
>To: Kristine Alpi <kris at jeffalpi.net>

>I think one of the things we can do is remove the criteria that the first
author be an MLA member to be that at least one author needs to an MLA
member.? We can add verbiage that it will only be given if there is a high
quality piece but I can't image that's wouldn't happen.?? There might not be
articles that qualify because they don't meet the research or the MLA
membership criteria, but I would be dubious that if there was a research
article that it would not be found to be of high quality.
>
>I will make some edits and resend.
>Sandy
>--
Sandy De Groote, MLIS, AHIP sgroote at uic.edu Scholarly Communications
Librarian and Associate Professor
312-413-9494
University Library 801 S Morgan St. M/C 234
Chicago, Il 60607
On 4/7/2013 3:29 PM, Kristine Alpi wrote:
>
>Dear Colleagues,
>>
>>
>>
>>Attached are the proposed criteria for RS Best JMLA research paper award
for your review.?? Thank you to our awards committee, Sandy DeGroote, Aileen
McCrillis and Amy Donahue for preparing these for us.
>>
>>Please take a look and let me and Sandy know of any needed clarifications
or any concerns by Monday, April 15.?? Once we have addressed any last
tweaks, we will ask Brooke to post the criteria on the Research Section
website and ask the JMLA editor to link to the award info from the JMLA page
on MLANET.?? We will be announcing the criteria at MLA at our business
meeting and the first award will be in 2014 for papers published in 2012-
2013.
>>
>>
>>
>>Sandy and Aileen will be recruiting for 1-2 additional award committee
members as Amy is finished with her one year term and Sandy is hoping to
rotate off once we have a new person(s) recruited.? So if you have colleagues
who would enjoy the awards committee, please let me, Sandy or Terrie Wheeler
know.?
>>
>>
>>I think that's it for now.? Looking forward to getting this program
started!
>>Kris
>>

```
>>
>>
>>_____
Excomm mailing list Excomm at research.mlanet.org
http://research.mlanet.org/mailman/listinfo/excomm_research.mlanet.org
>
>
>


--
Sandy De Groote, MLIS, AHIP
Scholarly Communications Librarian and Associate Professor
University Library
University of Illinois at Chicago
801 S Morgan St. M/C 234
Chicago, Il 60607 312-413-9494; sgroote at uic.edu
-------------- next part --------------
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/
20130413/656b7715/attachment-0001.html>


From jeldredge at salud.unm.edu  Sat Apr 13 08:04:41 2013
From: jeldredge at salud.unm.edu (Jonathan Eldredge)
Date: Sat, 13 Apr 2013 07:04:41 -0600
Subject: [Excomm] Proposed criteria for RS Best JMLA research paper
 award for your review
In-Reply-To: <1365852934.95559.YahooMailNeo@web1204.biz.mail.gq1.yahoo.com>
References: <1365366568.58482.YahooMailNeo@web1211.biz.mail.gq1.yahoo.com>
        <516783A8.8080708@uic.edu>
        <1365736321.54598.YahooMailNeo@web1204.biz.mail.gq1.yahoo.com>
        <51686738.1020102@uic.edu>
        <1365852934.95559.YahooMailNeo@web1204.biz.mail.gq1.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <51690389020000B50001EE2B@hsc-iagate1.health.unm.edu>
```

I like this idea for promoting research publication as a way of creating
additional incentives for our members to publish their research!

Sandy's edits might have already handled the points I have in mind, but here
are some that occur to me:

1. We might want to distinguish clearly between our Section award and the MLA
Eliot award:
http://www.mlanet.org/awards/honors/eliot.html

2. Why even-numbered years? Is our treasury really too low to support this
award only every other year? Maybe we could just add the caveat about "funds
permitting" or similar? I like the idea of considering research articles over
a two-year span retrospectively because the significance of a recent article
does not always sink-in for me immediately (discount this suggestion if I am
being too dim-witted since maybe this is just me!).

3. Purpose of the award: fewer and fewer people use the phrase "refereed"
anymore. I would suggest "peer reviewed".

4. The HHS definition of research is as clear as mud in an effort to be
inclusive. Should I pursue finding a clearer definition?

5. Eligibility: the link and entering the URL directly don't work for me on #4 so could someone attach it to an email so I can inspect it? Thanks.

6. Judging and Presentation of the award (timeline): bullet point #1 Do we meet articles instead of "posters" here?

Bullet point #2 Does MLA do that or could someone just logon as a member and check the online directory?

Aside from these few tweaks, it's a great document. Thanks to the Committee for pursuing such a great idea!

Jon

>>> Kristine Alpi <kris at jeffalpi.net> 4/13/2013 5:35 AM >>>
Hi Sandy

You are right that the paper gets the award, but the check or gift card would have to be given to an individual. Thus we have to pick out the person who would get that.
It may also be that there are two MLA member librarians from different institutions on the same paper. You are right that the person we send it to should share it.

Kris

From: Sandy De Groote <sgroote at uic.edu>
To: Kristine Alpi <kris at jeffalpi.net>
Cc: Research ExecComm <excomm at research.mlanet.org>; Susan Starr <jmlaeditorbox at gmail.com>; "aileen.mccrillis at med.nyu.edu" <aileen.mccrillis at med.nyu.edu>; "adonahue at mcw.edu" <adonahue at mcw.edu>
Sent: Friday, April 12, 2013 3:57 PM
Subject: Re: [Excomm] Proposed criteria for RS Best JMLA research paper award for your review

I thought the research paper was getting the award. We can give it to the first listed author that is also an MLA member. They can work out how to split the $.
Sandy

On 4/11/2013 10:12 PM, Kristine Alpi wrote:

That sounds okay to me to broaden it to allow other positions, but I think priority or extra points should be given to first author MLA member papers. It does raise confusion as to who would get the award if there are multiple MLA member authors on the same paper, none of whom are first authors. Would we just give it the first MLA member author in the order of authors?

Kris

I think one of the things we can do is remove the criteria that the first
author be an MLA member to be that at least one author needs to an MLA
member. We can add verbiage that it will only be given if there is a high
quality piece but I can't image that's wouldn't happen.   There might not be
articles that qualify because they don't meet the research or the MLA
membership criteria, but I would be dubious that if there was a research
article that it would not be found to be of high quality.

I will make some edits and resend.
Sandy --
Sandy De Groote, MLIS, AHIP   sgroote at uic.eduScholarly Communications
Librarian and Associate Professor
312-413-9494
University Library 801 S Morgan St. M/C 234
Chicago, Il 60607 On 4/7/2013 3:29 PM, Kristine Alpi wrote:

Dear Colleagues,

Attached are the proposed criteria for RS Best JMLA research paper award for
your review.   Thank you to our awards committee, Sandy DeGroote, Aileen
McCrillis and Amy Donahue for preparing these for us.
Please take a look and let me and Sandy know of any needed clarifications or
any concerns by Monday, April 15.   Once we have addressed any last tweaks,
we will ask Brooke to post the criteria on the Research Section website and
ask the JMLA editor to link to the award info from the JMLA page on MLANET.
We will be announcing the criteria at MLA at our business meeting and the
first award will be in 2014 for papers published in 2012-2013.

Sandy and Aileen will be recruiting for 1-2 additional award committee
members as Amy is finished with her one year term and Sandy is hoping to
rotate off once we have a new person(s) recruited. So if you have colleagues
who would enjoy the awards committee, please let me, Sandy or Terrie Wheeler
know.

I think that's it for now. Looking forward to getting this program started!
Kris

--
Sandy De Groote, MLIS, AHIP
Scholarly Communications Librarian and Associate Professor
University Library
University of Illinois at Chicago
801 S Morgan St. M/C 234
Chicago, Il 60607 312-413-9494; sgroote at uic.edu

-------------- next part --------------
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/
20130413/858b7aed/attachment.html>

From sgroote at uic.edu Tue Apr 23 19:24:25 2013
From: sgroote at uic.edu (Sandy De Groote)
Date: Tue, 23 Apr 2013 19:24:25 -0500
Subject: [Excomm] Proposed criteria for RS Best JMLA research paper
 award for your review
In-Reply-To: <51690389020000B50001EE2B@hsc-iagate1.health.unm.edu>
References: <1365366568.58482.YahooMailNeo@web1211.biz.mail.gq1.yahoo.com>
        <516783A8.8080708@uic.edu>
        <1365736321.54598.YahooMailNeo@web1204.biz.mail.gq1.yahoo.com>
        <51686738.1020102@uic.edu>
        <1365852934.95559.YahooMailNeo@web1204.biz.mail.gq1.yahoo.com>
        <51690389020000B50001EE2B@hsc-iagate1.health.unm.edu>
Message-ID: <51772639.5040502@uic.edu>

Hi Everyone,
I am attaching a revision to see if there is further feedback or
suggestions. Please let me know in the next few days and then I can
send this off for final approval.   I have placed further comments below
in response to some of Jon's questions.

I am including the evaluation form slightly edited from what is used to
judged papers at MLA. I have changed question 13 to reflect what might
be appropriate to ask about a written paper.   Question 16 needs to ask
something different, but I am not sure what. Any suggestions?

Thanks,
Sandy

On 4/13/2013 8:04 AM, Jonathan Eldredge wrote:
> I like this idea for promoting research publication as a way of
> creating additional incentives for our members to publish their research!
> Sandy's edits might have already handled the points I have in mind,
> but here are some that occur to me:
> 1. We might want to distinguish clearly between our Section award and

> the MLA Eliot award:
> http://www.mlanet.org/awards/honors/eliot.html
I added a note there is no relationship
> 2. Why even-numbered years? Is our treasury really too low to support
> this award only every other year? Maybe we could just add the caveat
> about "funds permitting" or similar? I like the idea of considering
> research articles over a two-year span retrospectively because the
> significance of a recent article does not always sink-in for me
> immediately (discount this suggestion if I am being too dim-witted
> since maybe this is just me!).
It doesn't seem there are enough research articles to do this every year.
> 3. Purpose of the award: fewer and fewer people use the phrase
> "refereed" anymore. I would suggest "peer reviewed".
I change it.
> 4. The HHS definition of research is as clear as mud in an effort to
> be inclusive. Should I pursue finding a clearer definition?
We can change the definition.   I am not wedded to the HHS definition,
but I understood it is the definition for research that JMLA uses.
However, as our judging is not to determine what goes into JMLA, but
rather to award what the Research Committee sees as high quality
research, I think its fine to use another definition. Jon, please do
send a definition that you feel is clearer.
> 5. Eligibility: the link and entering the URL directly don't work for
> me on #4 so could someone attach it to an email so I can inspect it?
> Thanks.
I have attached it and revised it for the purpose of judging a JMLA article.
> 6. Judging and Presentation of the award (timeline): bullet point #1
> Do we meet articles instead of "posters" here?
> Bullet point #2 Does MLA do that or could someone just logon as a
> member and check the online directory?
I thought this also, so I changed to to look at the directory.
> Aside from these few tweaks, it's a great document. Thanks to the
> Committee for pursuing such a great idea!
> Jon
>
> >>> Kristine Alpi <kris at jeffalpi.net> 4/13/2013 5:35 AM >>>
> Hi Sandy
>
> You are right that the paper gets the award, but the check or gift
> card would have to be given to an individual. Thus we have to pick
> out the person who would get that.
> It may also be that there are two MLA member librarians from different
> institutions on the same paper. You are right that the person we send
> it to should share it.
>
> Kris
>
>
>
>
> --
> *From:* Sandy De Groote <sgroote at uic.edu>
> *To:* Kristine Alpi <kris at jeffalpi.net>
> *Cc:* Research ExecComm <excomm at research.mlanet.org>; Susan Starr
> <jmlaeditorbox at gmail.com>; "aileen.mccrillis at med.nyu.edu"
> <aileen.mccrillis at med.nyu.edu>; "adonahue at mcw.edu" <adonahue at
mcw.edu>

> I thought the research paper was getting the award.   We can give it
> to the first listed author that is also an MLA member.   They can work
> out how to split the $.
> Sandy
>
>
> On 4/11/2013 10:12 PM, Kristine Alpi wrote:
>> That sounds okay to me to broaden it to allow other positions, but I
>> think priority or extra points should be given to first author MLA
>> member papers.   It does raise confusion as to who would get the
>> award if there are multiple MLA member authors on the same paper,
>> none of whom are first authors. Would we just give it the first MLA
>> member author in the order of authors?
>>
>> Kris
>>
>>
>> --
>>
>> I think one of the things we can do is remove the criteria that the
>> first author be an MLA member to be that at least one author needs to
>> an MLA member. We can add verbiage that it will only be given if
>> there is a high quality piece but I can't image that's wouldn't
>> happen.   There might not be articles that qualify because they don't
>> meet the research or the MLA membership criteria, but I would be
>> dubious that if there was a research article that it would not be
>> found to be of high quality.
>>
>> I will make some edits and resend.
>> Sandy
>> --
>> Sandy De Groote, MLIS, AHIPsgroote at uic.edu <mailto:sgroote at uic.edu>
>> Scholarly Communications Librarian and Associate Professor
>> 312-413-9494
>> University Library 801 S Morgan St. M/C 234
>> Chicago, Il 60607
>> On 4/7/2013 3:29 PM, Kristine Alpi wrote:
>>> Dear Colleagues,
>>>
>>> Attached are the proposed criteria for RS Best JMLA research paper

>>> award for your review.   Thank you to our awards committee, Sandy
>>> DeGroote, Aileen McCrillis and Amy Donahue for preparing these for us.
>>> Please take a look and let me and Sandy know of any needed
>>> clarifications or any concerns by Monday, April 15. Once we have
>>> addressed any last tweaks, we will ask Brooke to post the criteria
>>> on the Research Section website and ask the JMLA editor to link to
>>> the award info from the JMLA page on MLANET.   We will be announcing
>>> the criteria at MLA at our business meeting and the first award will
>>> be in 2014 for papers published in 2012-2013.
>>>
>>> Sandy and Aileen will be recruiting for 1-2 additional award
>>> committee members as Amy is finished with her one year term and
>>> Sandy is hoping to rotate off once we have a new person(s)
>>> recruited. So if you have colleagues who would enjoy the awards
>>> committee, please let me, Sandy or Terrie Wheeler know.
>>>
>>> I think that's it for now. Looking forward to getting this program
>>> started!
>>> Kris
>>>
>>>
>>> _____
>>> Excomm mailing list
>>> Excomm at research.mlanet.org <mailto:Excomm at research.mlanet.org>
>>> http://research.mlanet.org/mailman/listinfo/excomm_research.mlanet.org
>>
>>
>>
>
> --
> Sandy De Groote, MLIS, AHIP
> Scholarly Communications Librarian and Associate Professor
> University Library
> University of Illinois at Chicago
> 801 S Morgan St. M/C 234
> Chicago, Il 60607 312-413-9494;sgroote at uic.edu <mailto:sgroote at
uic.edu>
>
>

--
Sandy De Groote, MLIS, AHIP
Scholarly Communications Librarian and Associate Professor
University Library
University of Illinois at Chicago
801 S Morgan St. M/C 234
Chicago, Il 60607 312-413-9494; sgroote at uic.edu

-------------- next part --------------
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/
20130423/1f2e768a/attachment-0001.html>
-------------- next part --------------
A non-text attachment was scrubbed...
Name: RSawardbestJMLApaper.doc
Type: application/msword

Size: 35328 bytes
Desc: not available
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/
20130423/1f2e768a/attachment-0002.doc>
-------------- next part --------------
A non-text attachment was scrubbed...
Name: jmlaresercharticleevaluationform.doc
Type: application/msword
Size: 55808 bytes
Desc: not available
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/
20130423/1f2e768a/attachment-0003.doc>

From jeldredge at salud.unm.edu Thu Apr 25 21:57:44 2013
From: jeldredge at salud.unm.edu (Jonathan Eldredge)
Date: Thu, 25 Apr 2013 20:57:44 -0600
Subject: [Excomm] Proposed criteria for RS Best JMLA research paper
 award for your review
In-Reply-To: <51772639.5040502@uic.edu>
References: <1365366568.58482.YahooMailNeo@web1211.biz.mail.gq1.yahoo.com>
        <516783A8.8080708@uic.edu>
        <1365736321.54598.YahooMailNeo@web1204.biz.mail.gq1.yahoo.com>
        <51686738.1020102@uic.edu>
        <1365852934.95559.YahooMailNeo@web1204.biz.mail.gq1.yahoo.com>
        <51690389020000B50001EE2B@hsc-iagate1.health.unm.edu>
        <51772639.5040502@uic.edu>
Message-ID: <517998C8020000B500020133@hsc-iagate1.health.unm.edu>

Hi Sandy,

Thanks for the explanations.

I really don't have much to offer, except where indicated below.

Looking forward to seeing this award become a reality.

See you at MLA!

Jon

Jonathan Eldredge, MLS, PhD
Associate Professor, School of Medicine
HSLIC Evidence Based Practice and Translational Sciences Collaboration
Coordinator;
Associate Professor of Family and Community Medicine
The University of New Mexico
MSC09 5100
1 University of New Mexico
Albuquerque, NM 87131-0001
505/272-0654 (direct)
505/272-5350 (fax)
jeldredge at salud.unm.edu

"Who dares to teach must never cease to learn."

John Cotton Dana
>>> Sandy De Groote <sgroote at uic.edu> 4/23/2013 6:24 PM >>>
Hi Everyone,
I am attaching a revision to see if there is further feedback or
suggestions. Please let me know in the next few days and then I can
send this off for final approval.   I have placed further comments below
in response to some of Jon's questions.

I am including the evaluation form slightly edited from what is used to
judged papers at MLA. I have changed question 13 to reflect what might
be appropriate to ask about a written paper.   Question 16 needs to ask
something different, but I am not sure what. Any suggestions?

For #13: Does the author's literature review synthesize the existing
relevant literature well? Does the author offer evidence of an expert
literature search?

For #16: Does the author outline productive directions for future
research?

Thanks,
Sandy

On 4/13/2013 8:04 AM, Jonathan Eldredge wrote:

I like this idea for promoting research publication as a way of
creating additional incentives for our members to publish their
research!

Sandy's edits might have already handled the points I have in mind, but
here are some that occur to me:

1. We might want to distinguish clearly between our Section award and
the MLA Eliot award:
http://www.mlanet.org/awards/honors/eliot.html
I added a note there is no relationship

2. Why even-numbered years? Is our treasury really too low to support
this award only every other year? Maybe we could just add the caveat
about "funds permitting" or similar? I like the idea of considering
research articles over a two-year span retrospectively because the
significance of a recent article does not always sink-in for me
immediately (discount this suggestion if I am being too dim-witted since
maybe this is just me!).

It doesn't seem there are enough research articles to do this every
year.

THOUGHT: am I the only one who thinks that in some issues of JMLA the
more important research articles are in the "Brief Communications"
section? Many of these are full-fledged research articles in their own
right. Maybe we should also include "Brief Communications" as eligible

for this award?

3. Purpose of the award: fewer and fewer people use the phrase
"refereed" anymore. I would suggest "peer reviewed".
I change it.

4. The HHS definition of research is as clear as mud in an effort to be
inclusive. Should I pursue finding a clearer definition?

We can change the definition.   I am not wedded to the HHS definition,
but I understood it is the definition for research that JMLA uses.
However, as our judging is not to determine what goes into JMLA, but
rather to award what the Research Committee sees as high quality
research, I think its fine to use another definition.   Jon, please do
send a definition that you feel is clearer.

Here's a definition that seems fairly lucid:

Research can be broadly defined as the *careful, systematic, patient
study and investigation in some field of knowledge, undertaken to
discover or establish facts or principles* [1 (
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC314107/#i0025-7338-092-01-0083-b1
)]. [Goldman J. ed. Webster's new world dictionary. 3rd college ed.
Springfield, MA: Webster's, 1992:1141.]
I quote it in this article: Eldredge JD. Inventory of research methods
for librarianship and informatics.
J Med Libr Assoc. 2004 Jan;92(1):83-90. Review. PubMed PMID: 14762467;
PubMed
Central PMCID: PMC314107

5. Eligibility: the link and entering the URL directly don't work for
me on #4 so could someone attach it to an email so I can inspect it?
Thanks.
I have attached it and revised it for the purpose of judging a JMLA
article.

6. Judging and Presentation of the award (timeline): bullet point #1 Do
we meet articles instead of "posters" here?

Bullet point #2 Does MLA do that or could someone just logon as a
member and check the online directory?
I thought this also, so I changed to to look at the directory.

Aside from these few tweaks, it's a great document. Thanks to the
Committee for pursuing such a great idea!

Jon

Hi Sandy

You are right that the paper gets the award, but the check or gift card
would have to be given to an individual. Thus we have to pick out the
person who would get that.
It may also be that there are two MLA member librarians from different
institutions on the same paper. You are right that the person we send
it to should share it.

Kris

I thought the research paper was getting the award.   We can give it to
the first listed author that is also an MLA member.   They can work out
how to split the $.
Sandy

On 4/11/2013 10:12 PM, Kristine Alpi wrote:

That sounds okay to me to broaden it to allow other positions, but I
think priority or extra points should be given to first author MLA
member papers.   It does raise confusion as to who would get the award
if there are multiple MLA member authors on the same paper, none of whom
are first authors. Would we just give it the first MLA member author in
the order of authors?

Kris

I think one of the things we can do is remove the criteria that the
first author be an MLA member to be that at least one author needs to an
MLA member. We can add verbiage that it will only be given if there is
a high quality piece but I can't image that's wouldn't happen.   There
might not be articles that qualify because they don't meet the research
or the MLA membership criteria, but I would be dubious that if there was
a research article that it would not be found to be of high quality.

I will make some edits and resend.
Sandy --
Sandy De Groote, MLIS, AHIP   sgroote at uic.eduScholarly
Communications Librarian and Associate Professor
312-413-9494
University Library 801 S Morgan St. M/C 234
Chicago, Il 60607 On 4/7/2013 3:29 PM, Kristine Alpi wrote:

Dear Colleagues,

Attached are the proposed criteria for RS Best JMLA research paper
award for your review.   Thank you to our awards committee, Sandy
DeGroote, Aileen McCrillis and Amy Donahue for preparing these for us.
Please take a look and let me and Sandy know of any needed
clarifications or any concerns by Monday, April 15.   Once we have
addressed any last tweaks, we will ask Brooke to post the criteria on
the Research Section website and ask the JMLA editor to link to the
award info from the JMLA page on MLANET.   We will be announcing the
criteria at MLA at our business meeting and the first award will be in
2014 for papers published in 2012-2013.

Sandy and Aileen will be recruiting for 1-2 additional award committee
members as Amy is finished with her one year term and Sandy is hoping to
rotate off once we have a new person(s) recruited. So if you have
colleagues who would enjoy the awards committee, please let me, Sandy or
Terrie Wheeler know.

I think that's it for now. Looking forward to getting this program
started!
Kris

--
Sandy De Groote, MLIS, AHIP
Scholarly Communications Librarian and Associate Professor
University Library
University of Illinois at Chicago
801 S Morgan St. M/C 234
Chicago, Il 60607 312-413-9494; sgroote at uic.edu

--
Sandy De Groote, MLIS, AHIP
Scholarly Communications Librarian and Associate Professor
University Library
University of Illinois at Chicago
801 S Morgan St. M/C 234
Chicago, Il 60607 312-413-9494; sgroote at uic.edu
-------------- next part --------------
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/
20130425/6edb4806/attachment-0001.html>

From jeldredge at salud.unm.edu Fri Apr 26 10:34:24 2013
From: jeldredge at salud.unm.edu (Jonathan Eldredge)
Date: Fri, 26 Apr 2013 09:34:24 -0600
Subject: [Excomm] Proposed criteria for RS Best JMLA research paper
 award for your review
In-Reply-To: <CAFj=EXnyZuH-HBwpyO73s4n0pKFMkJEeZ_UC5-
Ouu4zYNmeyig@mail.gmail.com>
References: <1365366568.58482.YahooMailNeo@web1211.biz.mail.gq1.yahoo.com>
        <516783A8.8080708@uic.edu>
        <1365736321.54598.YahooMailNeo@web1204.biz.mail.gq1.yahoo.com>
        <51686738.1020102@uic.edu>
        <1365852934.95559.YahooMailNeo@web1204.biz.mail.gq1.yahoo.com>
        <51690389020000B50001EE2B@hsc-iagate1.health.unm.edu>
        <51772639.5040502@uic.edu>
        <517998C8020000B500020133@hsc-iagate1.health.unm.edu>
        <CAFj=EXnyZuH-HBwpyO73s4n0pKFMkJEeZ_UC5-Ouu4zYNmeyig@mail.gmail.com>
Message-ID: <517A4A20020000B5000201CC@hsc-iagate1.health.unm.edu>

Thanks, Susan, that does help.

See everyone at MLA!

Jon

>>> Susan Starr <jmlaeditorbox at gmail.com> 4/25/2013 11:03 PM >>>
I guess I should step in and explain the JMLA's position.

As Jonathan says, "Research can be broadly defined as the "careful,
systematic, patient study and investigation in some field of knowledge,
undertaken to discover or establish facts or principles" [1 (
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC314107/#i0025-7338-092-01-0083-b1
)]. [Goldman J. ed. Webster's new world dictionary. 3rd college ed.
Springfield, MA: Webster's, 1992:1141.]"

The JMLA requires full length papers to comply with this definition - they need to have a research question and a research design that allows one "to discover or establish facts or principles" (what HHS refers to as "generalizable knowledge") related to that question. That typically means a random or representative sample for a survey; a pre/post test or a control group for an intervention, or an established protocol for qualitative research. Studies that don't have a research question or that do not use a standard research methodology aren't published as full length papers.

Basically, to be published as a full length paper, we look for the criteria that you have listed in section A of your evaluation form.

Hope this helps

Susan

On Thu, Apr 25, 2013 at 7:57 PM, Jonathan Eldredge <jeldredge at salud.unm.edu> wrote:

Hi Sandy,
Thanks for the explanations.
I really don't have much to offer, except where indicated below.
Looking forward to seeing this award become a reality.
See you at MLA!
Jon

Jonathan Eldredge, MLS, PhD
Associate Professor, School of Medicine
HSLIC Evidence Based Practice and Translational Sciences Collaboration Coordinator;
Associate Professor of Family and Community Medicine
The University of New Mexico
MSC09 5100
1 University of New Mexico
Albuquerque, NM 87131-0001
505/272-0654 (tel:505%2F272-0654) (direct)
505/272-5350 (tel:505%2F272-5350) (fax)
jeldredge at salud.unm.edu

"Who dares to teach must never cease to learn."
John Cotton Dana
>>> Sandy De Groote <sgroote at uic.edu> 4/23/2013 6:24 PM >>>
Hi Everyone,

I am attaching a revision to see if there is further feedback or suggestions.
Please let me know in the next few days and then I can send this off for
final approval. I have placed further comments below in response to some of
Jon's questions.

I am including the evaluation form slightly edited from what is used to
judged papers at MLA. I have changed question 13 to reflect what might be
appropriate to ask about a written paper. Question 16 needs to ask something
different, but I am not sure what. Any suggestions?
For #13: Does the author's literature review synthesize the existing relevant
literature well? Does the author offer evidence of an expert literature
search?

For #16: Does the author outline productive directions for future research?

Thanks,
Sandy

On 4/13/2013 8:04 AM, Jonathan Eldredge wrote:

I like this idea for promoting research publication as a way of creating
additional incentives for our members to publish their research!
Sandy's edits might have already handled the points I have in mind, but here
are some that occur to me:
1. We might want to distinguish clearly between our Section award and the MLA
Eliot award:
http://www.mlanet.org/awards/honors/eliot.html
I added a note there is no relationship

2. Why even-numbered years? Is our treasury really too low to support this
award only every other year? Maybe we could just add the caveat about "funds
permitting" or similar? I like the idea of considering research articles over
a two-year span retrospectively because the significance of a recent article
does not always sink-in for me immediately (discount this suggestion if I am
being too dim-witted since maybe this is just me!).

It doesn't seem there are enough research articles to do this every year.
THOUGHT: am I the only one who thinks that in some issues of JMLA the more
important research articles are in the "Brief Communications" section? Many
of these are full-fledged research articles in their own right. Maybe we
should also include "Brief Communications" as eligible for this award?

3. Purpose of the award: fewer and fewer people use the phrase "refereed"
anymore. I would suggest "peer reviewed".
I change it.

4. The HHS definition of research is as clear as mud in an effort to be
inclusive. Should I pursue finding a clearer definition?

We can change the definition. I am not wedded to the HHS definition, but I understood it is the definition for research that JMLA uses. However, as our judging is not to determine what goes into JMLA, but rather to award what the Research Committee sees as high quality research, I think its fine to use another definition. Jon, please do send a definition that you feel is clearer.
Here's a definition that seems fairly lucid:
Research can be broadly defined as the "careful, systematic, patient study and investigation in some field of knowledge, undertaken to discover or establish facts or principles" [1 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC314107/#i0025-7338-092-01-0083-b1)]. [Goldman J. ed. Webster's new world dictionary. 3rd college ed. Springfield, MA: Webster's, 1992:1141.]
I quote it in this article: Eldredge JD. Inventory of research methods for librarianship and informatics.
J Med Libr Assoc. 2004 Jan;92(1):83-90. Review. PubMed PMID: 14762467; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC314107

5. Eligibility: the link and entering the URL directly don't work for me on #4 so could someone attach it to an email so I can inspect it? Thanks.
I have attached it and revised it for the purpose of judging a JMLA article.

6. Judging and Presentation of the award (timeline): bullet point #1 Do we meet articles instead of "posters" here?
Bullet point #2 Does MLA do that or could someone just logon as a member and check the online directory?
I thought this also, so I changed to to look at the directory.

Aside from these few tweaks, it's a great document. Thanks to the Committee for pursuing such a great idea!
Jon

>>> Kristine Alpi <kris at jeffalpi.net> (mailto:kris at jeffalpi.net) 4/13/2013 5:35 AM >>>
Hi Sandy

You are right that the paper gets the award, but the check or gift card would have to be given to an individual. Thus we have to pick out the person who would get that.
It may also be that there are two MLA member librarians from different institutions on the same paper. You are right that the person we send it to should share it.

Kris

From: Sandy De Groote <sgroote at uic.edu> (mailto:sgroote at uic.edu)
To: Kristine Alpi <kris at jeffalpi.net> (mailto:kris at jeffalpi.net)
Cc: Research ExecComm <excomm at research.mlanet.org> (mailto:excomm at research.mlanet.org); Susan Starr <jmlaeditorbox at gmail.com> (mailto:jmlaeditorbox at gmail.com); "aileen.mccrillis at med.nyu.edu" (

mailto:aileen.mccrillis at med.nyu.edu) <aileen.mccrillis at med.nyu.edu> (
mailto:aileen.mccrillis at med.nyu.edu); "adonahue at mcw.edu" (
mailto:adonahue at mcw.edu) <adonahue at mcw.edu> (mailto:adonahue at
mcw.edu)
Sent: Friday, April 12, 2013 3:57 PM
Subject: Re: [Excomm] Proposed criteria for RS Best JMLA research paper award
for your review

I thought the research paper was getting the award. We can give it to the
first listed author that is also an MLA member. They can work out how to
split the $.
Sandy

On 4/11/2013 10:12 PM, Kristine Alpi wrote:

That sounds okay to me to broaden it to allow other positions, but I think
priority or extra points should be given to first author MLA member papers.
It does raise confusion as to who would get the award if there are multiple
MLA member authors on the same paper, none of whom are first authors. Would
we just give it the first MLA member author in the order of authors?

Kris

From: Sandy De Groote <sgroote at uic.edu> (mailto:sgroote at uic.edu)
To: Kristine Alpi <kris at jeffalpi.net> (mailto:kris at jeffalpi.net)
Cc: Research ExecComm <excomm at research.mlanet.org> (mailto:excomm at
research.mlanet.org); Susan Starr <jmlaeditorbox at gmail.com> (
mailto:jmlaeditorbox at gmail.com); "aileen.mccrillis at med.nyu.edu" (
mailto:aileen.mccrillis at med.nyu.edu) <aileen.mccrillis at med.nyu.edu> (
mailto:aileen.mccrillis at med.nyu.edu); "adonahue at mcw.edu" (
mailto:adonahue at mcw.edu) <adonahue at mcw.edu> (mailto:adonahue at
mcw.edu)
Sent: Thursday, April 11, 2013 11:46 PM
Subject: Re: [Excomm] Proposed criteria for RS Best JMLA research paper award
for your review

I think one of the things we can do is remove the criteria that the first
author be an MLA member to be that at least one author needs to an MLA
member. We can add verbiage that it will only be given if there is a high
quality piece but I can't image that's wouldn't happen. There might not be
articles that qualify because they don't meet the research or the MLA
membership criteria, but I would be dubious that if there was a research
article that it would not be found to be of high quality.

I will make some edits and resend.
Sandy -- Sandy De Groote, MLIS, AHIP sgroote at uic.eduScholarly
Communications Librarian and Associate Professor312-413-9494 (tel:312-413-
9494)University Library 801 S Morgan St. M/C 234 Chicago, Il 60607 On
4/7/2013 3:29 PM, Kristine Alpi wrote:

Dear Colleagues,

Attached are the proposed criteria for RS Best JMLA research paper award for your review. Thank you to our awards committee, Sandy DeGroote, Aileen McCrillis and Amy Donahue for preparing these for us.
Please take a look and let me and Sandy know of any needed clarifications or any concerns by Monday, April 15. Once we have addressed any last tweaks, we will ask Brooke to post the criteria on the Research Section website and ask the JMLA editor to link to the award info from the JMLA page on MLANET. We will be announcing the criteria at MLA at our business meeting and the first award will be in 2014 for papers published in 2012-2013.

Sandy and Aileen will be recruiting for 1-2 additional award committee members as Amy is finished with her one year term and Sandy is hoping to rotate off once we have a new person(s) recruited. So if you have colleagues who would enjoy the awards committee, please let me, Sandy or Terrie Wheeler know.

I think that's it for now. Looking forward to getting this program started!
Kris

-- Sandy De Groote, MLIS, AHIP Scholarly Communications Librarian and Associate Professor University Library University of Illinois at Chicago 801 S Morgan St. M/C 234 Chicago, Il 60607 312-413-9494 (tel:312-413-9494); sgroote at uic.edu

--
Sandy De Groote, MLIS, AHIP
Scholarly Communications Librarian and Associate Professor
University Library
University of Illinois at Chicago
801 S Morgan St. M/C 234
Chicago, Il 60607 312-413-9494 (tel:312-413-9494); sgroote at uic.edu

August 2013

From terrie.wheeler at nih.gov Fri Aug 23 12:43:02 2013
From: terrie.wheeler at nih.gov (Wheeler, Terrie (NIH/OD/ORS) [E])
Date: Fri, 23 Aug 2013 17:43:02 +0000
Subject: [Excomm] Comments - Proposed Distance Support SIG
Message-ID: <88ADB35AB1AB3A4590A9948A267573361793700D@MLBXV08.nih.gov>

Greetings, Executive Committee,

On behalf of Kris Alpi, I am forwarding this Distance Support SIG proposal to
the RS Executive Committee or review, comments, and identification of any
possible overlap between this newly proposed SIG and any already established
SIG or Section. Since comments are due by September 6, would it be possible
to return comments to me by September 5 for compilation and submission?

Please reply to this message, or use this subject line, to share your
comments. I will compile and send back to the Executive Committee, as well
as on to Claire Joseph.

Thank you!

Sincerely,
Terrie Wheeler
RS Section Chair 2013-2014

From: Philbrick, Jodi [mailto:Jodi.Philbrick at unt.edu]
Sent: Friday, August 23, 2013 12:33 PM
To: mla-section at mlahq.org
Subject: [mla-section] Action: Past Chairs - Proposed Distance Support SIG

Dear Colleagues,

Attached is a proposal to add a Distance Support SIG. The Section/SIG Review
Committee and I have reviewed the Statement of Purpose and have determined
that all necessary elements are included.

According to the SIG Manual, the next step is for the voting members of
Section Council (Past Chairs) to review the statement with their respective
Section's officers for comments regarding possible overlap between the
proposed SIG and any existing SIG or Section. Comments should be forwarded to
the Chair of the Section/SIG Review Committee, Claire Joseph, Claire.Joseph
at snch.org<mailto:Claire.Joseph at snch.org> by September 6th. If there are
any concerns, Claire will work with the conveners of the proposed SIG to
resolve those. After that, there will be a formal vote of Section Council
concerning the proposed SIG.

Have a nice weekend,
Jodi

Jodi L. Philbrick, Ph.D.
Chair, Section Council
&
Lecturer
Department of Library and Information Sciences
University of North Texas
jodi.philbrick at unt.edu<mailto:jodi.philbrick at unt.edu>

-------------- next part --------------
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/
20130823/42bb5002/attachment-0001.html>
-------------- next part --------------
A non-text attachment was scrubbed...
Name: Distance Support Statement of Purpose SIG.docx
Type: application/vnd.openxmlformats-officedocument.wordprocessingml.document
Size: 15807 bytes
Desc: Distance Support Statement of Purpose SIG.docx
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/
20130823/42bb5002/attachment-0001.bin>
-------------- next part --------------
An embedded and charset-unspecified text was scrubbed...
Name: ATT00001.txt
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/
20130823/42bb5002/attachment-0001.txt>

September 2013

From terrie.wheeler at nih.gov Mon Sep 9 21:07:27 2013
From: terrie.wheeler at nih.gov (Wheeler, Terrie (NIH/OD/ORS) [E])
Date: Tue, 10 Sep 2013 02:07:27 +0000
Subject: [Excomm] Comments - Proposed Distance Support SIG
In-Reply-To: <88ADB35AB1AB3A4590A9948A267573361793700D@MLBXV08.nih.gov>
References: <88ADB35AB1AB3A4590A9948A267573361793700D@MLBXV08.nih.gov>
Message-ID: <88ADB35AB1AB3A4590A9948A26757336268003E8@MLBXV08.nih.gov>

I have had one response, and I will submit with that one response, unless
others on the executive committee also care to chime in. I'll need to hear
ASAP.   Thanks for your consideration.

Best,
Terrie Wheeler

From: Wheeler, Terrie (NIH/OD/ORS) [E]
Sent: Friday, August 23, 2013 1:43 PM
To: 'excomm at research.mlanet.org'
Subject: Comments - Proposed Distance Support SIG

Greetings, Executive Committee,

On behalf of Kris Alpi, I am forwarding this Distance Support SIG proposal to the RS Executive Committee or review, comments, and identification of any possible overlap between this newly proposed SIG and any already established SIG or Section. Since comments are due by September 6, would it be possible to return comments to me by September 5 for compilation and submission?

Please reply to this message, or use this subject line, to share your comments. I will compile and send back to the Executive Committee, as well as on to Claire Joseph.

Thank you!

Sincerely,
Terrie Wheeler
RS Section Chair 2013-2014

From: Philbrick, Jodi [mailto:Jodi.Philbrick at unt.edu]
Sent: Friday, August 23, 2013 12:33 PM
To: mla-section at mlahq.org<mailto:mla-section at mlahq.org>
Subject: [mla-section] Action: Past Chairs - Proposed Distance Support SIG

Dear Colleagues,

Attached is a proposal to add a Distance Support SIG. The Section/SIG Review Committee and I have reviewed the Statement of Purpose and have determined that all necessary elements are included.

According to the SIG Manual, the next step is for the voting members of Section Council (Past Chairs) to review the statement with their respective Section's officers for comments regarding possible overlap between the proposed SIG and any existing SIG or Section. Comments should be forwarded to the Chair of the Section/SIG Review Committee, Claire Joseph, Claire.Joseph at snch.org<mailto:Claire.Joseph at snch.org> by September 6th. If there are any concerns, Claire will work with the conveners of the proposed SIG to resolve those. After that, there will be a formal vote of Section Council concerning the proposed SIG.

Have a nice weekend,
Jodi

Jodi L. Philbrick, Ph.D.
Chair, Section Council
&
Lecturer
Department of Library and Information Sciences
University of North Texas
jodi.philbrick at unt.edu<mailto:jodi.philbrick at unt.edu>

-------------- next part --------------
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/20130910/9e5c4fbc/attachment.html>

October 2013

From kris at jeffalpi.net Tue Oct 8 14:05:04 2013
From: kris at jeffalpi.net (Kristine Alpi)
Date: Tue, 8 Oct 2013 12:05:04 -0700 (PDT)
Subject: [Excomm] Forwarding: Research Section - MLA Mid-Year Reports
Message-ID: <1381259104.57594.YahooMailNeo@web1207.biz.mail.gq1.yahoo.com>

Forwarding for Terrie Wheeler - if you have comments, please use Terrie's
personal email terriewheeler58 at yahoo.com

Greetings Research Section Executive Committee,

Our Section mid-year report will be due?October 28. ? I have attached a draft
to kick off this effort. ?This is an active section, so I expect I will have
omitted something accidentally.?Could each of you look this over and send me
your updates to particular sections by?October 21? ??

The officer responsibilities are based on the fact that Kris Alpi is now on
the Board of Directors. ?I welcome her input if these need to be further
delineated. ?

My sincere thanks to all of you for your work to enhance the research efforts
of our profession.
-------------- next part --------------
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/
20131008/64039d7d/attachment-0001.html>
-------------- next part --------------
A non-text attachment was scrubbed...
Name: researchsectmid-yearreport2013-2014.doc
Type: application/msword
Size: 29696 bytes
Desc: not available
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/
20131008/64039d7d/attachment-0001.doc>

November 2013

From terrie.wheeler at nih.gov Fri Nov 1 17:17:24 2013
From: terrie.wheeler at nih.gov (Wheeler, Terrie (NIH/OD/ORS) [E])
Date: Fri, 1 Nov 2013 22:17:24 +0000
Subject: [Excomm] Research Section Nomination for Section Project of the
        Year Award
Message-ID: <88ADB35AB1AB3A4590A9948A267573363620F147@MLBXV08.nih.gov>

Good afternoon,

On behalf of the Research Section, I submit this nomination for Section
Project of the Year. The first attachment is the nomination. The following
attachments are referenced in the body of the nomination.

Thank you very much for your favorable consideration of this nomination.

Sincerely,

Terrie R. Wheeler
RS Chair 2013-2014
-------------- next part --------------
An HTML attachment was scrubbed...
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/
20131101/0ba22377/attachment-0001.html>
-------------- next part --------------
A non-text attachment was scrubbed...
Name: Research Section Project of the Year Nomination-20131101.pdf
Type: application/pdf
Size: 124301 bytes
Desc: Research Section Project of the Year Nomination-20131101.pdf
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/
20131101/0ba22377/attachment-0006.pdf>
-------------- next part --------------
A non-text attachment was scrubbed...
Name: ALASTSacknowledgmentMLAResearchAgenda.pdf
Type: application/pdf
Size: 38915 bytes
Desc: ALASTSacknowledgmentMLAResearchAgenda.pdf
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/
20131101/0ba22377/attachment-0007.pdf>
-------------- next part --------------
A non-text attachment was scrubbed...
Name: 2012weblinks.pdf
Type: application/pdf
Size: 105468 bytes
Desc: 2012weblinks.pdf
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/
20131101/0ba22377/attachment-0008.pdf>
-------------- next part --------------
A non-text attachment was scrubbed...
Name: 2012studycitations.pdf
Type: application/pdf
Size: 100395 bytes
Desc: 2012studycitations.pdf
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/
20131101/0ba22377/attachment-0009.pdf>
-------------- next part --------------
A non-text attachment was scrubbed...
Name: 2009weblinks.pdf
Type: application/pdf
Size: 106772 bytes
Desc: 2009weblinks.pdf
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/
20131101/0ba22377/attachment-0010.pdf>
-------------- next part --------------

```
A non-text attachment was scrubbed...
Name: 2009studycitations.pdf
Type: application/pdf
Size: 128486 bytes
Desc: 2009studycitations.pdf
URL:
<http://research.mlanet.org/pipermail/excomm_research.mlanet.org/attachments/
20131101/0ba22377/attachment-0011.pdf>
```

December 2013