MLA 2020 Reviewer Training

Presenter: Andrea Kepsel and Gabe Rios
Contributed Content Working Group
2020ccwg@gmail.com

MLA '20 Content Review training

1

Agenda

- Types of submissions
  - Content type
  - Presentation format

- Rubrics to apply

- Reviewer website

- Important details

MLA '20 Content Review training   2

2

Types of Submissions: Content Type

Program Description	**Research Abstract**
Creation and improvement of products, programs, technologies, administrative practices, or services	Report on designing, conducting, and analyzing a research project

3

Types of Submissions: Presentation Format

- **Paper**: 15 minute presentation

- **Immersion Session**: 90 minute session

- **Poster**: author should staff poster for 1 hour

- **Lightning talk**: 5 minute presentation

4

IMPORTANT NOTE

Again, this year submissions for papers will NOT automatically be considered for posters or lightning talks*

• Consider Paper submissions ONLY for papers
• Consider Poster submissions ONLY for posters
• Consider Lightning Talk submissions ONLY for lightning talks

*Submitters rejected for Papers will need to re-submit if they wish to be considered for Posters or Lightning Talks.

5

Evaluate using 3-point standard Likert scale

3 – Agree
2 – Neutral / Neither agree nor disagree
1 – Disagree

6

Rubric: Common Criteria

1. The proposal is clearly written and well organized.

2. The project/program described relates to one or more aspects of health sciences librarianship or librarians.

3. The submission is appropriate for the selected format (paper, poster, lightning talk, immersion session).

4. The overall objectives of the program or research are specifically described.

7

# Rubric: Additional Criteria by Content Type

Program Description

5. The key steps or major parts of the program are clearly described and can be easily identified.

6. The program responds to an identified need or presents a novel concept in health sciences librarianship.

7. An appropriate evaluation of the program is described clearly.

Research Abstract

5. The research question or hypothesis is stated clearly and understood

6. This research project responds to an identified gap in the health sciences field.

7. The method(s) of the research are clearly stated.

8. The data methodology (quantitative, qualitative, etc.) states how it will inform conclusions.

8

MLA '20 Review Site

You will receive a login link with your username and password.

MLA '20 Content Review training

9

9

Reviewer Website

Once you have logged in, you will see your Review area:

REVIEWS (you have 0 completed reviews, 1 incomplete reviews and 0 recused reviews)

📅 Review Period: October 24 - November 15, 2019 at 11:59 PM

💬 Reviewer Guide

⬇ Download Reviewer Training from MLA FAQ page

① Click here to begin reviewing

ⓘ View a summary of your reviews

MLA '20 Content Review training

10

10

Click here to begin reviewing

SUBMISSION PREVIEW

PRINT

DOWNLOAD

DOWNLOAD ALL

Submission ID: 743454
Reimagining the Veterinary Medicine Curriculum [EXAMPLE]

Submission Type: Paper: Program Description Abstract

Submission Area of Practice : Education

Area of Practice
1st choice: Education
2nd choice:

Background
In 2016 the College of Veterinary Medicine was charged with reimagining their Doctor of Veterinary Medicine (DVM) curriculum and turning it into a cost-effective, learner-centered, integrated curriculum that produces high-value, career-ready graduates. The veterinary medicine librarian has been involved since the beginning of the process, with increasing responsibilities that ultimately led to being embedded in the instruction team when the new curriculum launched in the fall of 2018. This session will describe the process of developing a new curriculum and how the librarian leveraged their expertise to help shape the education of future veterinarians.

Description
After being identified as a College of Veterinary Medicine stakeholder the veterinary medicine librarian was invited to participate in a day-long workshop to identify key competencies for the new DVM curriculum. As the reimagining process progressed the librarian reached out to the college working groups and instructional design

REVIEW SCORECARD (1/1)

N/A 0
Your review avg This review

Abstain from Review
☐ Only check the box to the left if you have a potential conflict of interest with the proposal.

All criteria are scored using 3-point standard Likert scale with standard wording, for the start of every question:

- 3 – Agree
- 2 – Neutral / Neither agree nor disagree
- 1 – Disagree

Save Review

Review Question 1
The abstract is clearly written and well organized.

Review Question 2
The program described relates to one or more aspects of health

MLA '20 Content Review training   11

11

Log Out

Click to print

PRINT

Or download this review

DOWNLOAD

Or download all reviews

DOWNLOAD ALL

(1/5)

N/A 0
Your review avg This review

...e a potential conflict of interest

...ndard Likert scale with standard

...disagree

-structured.

...to present in the 90 minute

Review Question 3
The selected program format is appropriate for the content.

Review Question 4
The application clearly describes the logistical requirements of the session and provides a logistical contact.

Review Question 5

...1454
...sion Session
Immersion Session

...mat field for Special Co
...ecial Content Sessi
...nt to keep
...needed?
...ield.
...mes
...utcomes.
...ethods
...al Methods.

Participant Engagement
Test Participant Engagement

Presenter(s)
Tina, Fred, Paul, Peggy - test presenters field.

Technical Requirements

MLA '20 Content Review training   12

12

Review the submission details

13

Use the directional arrows to go to the previous review or next review. To see your review summary, use the "i" icon. To go to the home screen, use the home icon.

14

Click "Abstain from Review"
if you need to recuse yourself

Read the Likert scale Criteria before beginning

After reading the Submission,
select the appropriate score for each question

REVIEW SCORECARD

N/A   0
Your review avg   This review

Abstain from Review
☐ Only check the box to the left if you have a potential conflict of interest with the proposal.

All criteria are scored using 3-point standard Likert scale with stan wording, for the start of every question:
- 3 – Agree
- 2 – Neutral / Neither agree nor disagree
- 1 – Disagree

Save Review

Review Question 1
The abstract is clearly written and well organized.

3 (high)
2
1 (low)

Review Question 2
The program described relates to one or more aspects of health sciences librarianship or librarians.

Review Question 3

Tel (410) 638 9239 | support@cadmiumcd.com | My Data

MLA '20 Content Review training   17

17

After scoring, please provide comments.
NOTE: all comments and scores will be sent to the submitter, please be constructive.

Review Question 6
The program responds to an identified need or presents a novel concept in health sciences librarianship.

Review Question 7
An appropriate evaluation of the program is described clearly.

Comments (these will be shared with the submitters)
Please give constructive comments. These will be shared with submitters of unaccepted submissions.

Review Recommendation

Save Review

Reviewing ends: Friday, Nov 15 at 11:59 PM   0 completed reviews ✓
                                                   1 incomplete review ✗
Review quick picker: 0 recused reviews ⚠

MLA '20 Content Review training   18

18

Once you have finished the review, please select your recommendation for this proposal to be part of MLA '20

At any time, click on "save review" to save your actions thus far OR to submit your review

View a Summary of Your Reviews

21

View a Summary of Your Reviews

22

Clicking on the reviewed title gives you this display. Click on "View" to go to review screen to make any desired changes.

DATE EDITED: 10/25/2019, 4:56 PM
SCORE: 20
REVIEW RECOMMENDATION: Recommend
QUESTION 9: 3
QUESTION 10: 3
QUESTION 11: 3
QUESTION 12: 3
QUESTION 13: 3
QUESTION 14: 2
QUESTION 15: 3

View

☐   1   ✓   20   Paper: Program Desc...   743454

MLA '20 Content Review training   23

23

Clicking on the non-reviewed title gives you this display. Click on "view" to go to the review screen.

Conference Details | Technical Support

Q Search

Mary Test Immersion Session

DATE EDITED: 7/24/2018, 2:16 PM
SCORE: 0

View

Mary Test Immersion Session

☐   3   0   Lightning Talk: Resea...   521925   Mary Test Lightning Talk Research   💬 ★ 🏳
☐   4   0   Paper: Program Desc...   521469   Mary Test Program Description abstract J...   💬 ★ 🏳
☐   5   0   Paper: Research Abst...   521460   Mary's Test Paper Research Abstract July...   💬 ★ 🏳

MLA '20 Content Review training   24

24

Detail 1: Results and Conclusions

Some of the content you are reviewing may not yet have results or conclusions.

You may be looking at on-going research, so please do not 'grade' on whether or not the content has results or a conclusion.

25

Detail 2: Dates

Review period:

- Papers & Immersion: Oct 30 – Nov 15, 2019
  - Acceptance notices: Dec 6 – 8, 2019

- Posters & Lightning Talks: Jan 28 – Feb 6, 2020
  - Acceptance notices: Feb 25, 2020

Meeting: May 15 – 19, 2020, Portland, OR

26

Detail 3: Reviews

Reviewer comments and evaluation WILL be sent to the submitters

Be CLEAR and CONCISE in your feedback

Be HONEST in your evaluation

Be CONSTRUCTIVE in your critique

Be AWARE the submitter will use your words to improve

MLA '20 Content Review training   27

27

Questions?

Contributed Content Working Group
Email: 2020ccwg@gmail.com
FAQ: https://www.mlanet.org/p/cm/ld/fid=1543

MLA '20 Content Review training

28